

**From:** [PETERSON Jenn L](#)  
**To:** [PETERSON Jenn L](#); [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Ron Gouquet](#); [Joe Goulet/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Jeremy\\_Buck@fws.gov](#); [Robert.Neely@noaa.gov](#); [Burt Shephard/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Chris Thompson](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Fw: LWG lamprey tissue analysis proposal  
**Date:** 11/20/2006 02:27 PM

---

Sorry for the additional e-mail, but I had one quick thought. If we add the 11-11.7 sample to the Goldendale Aluminum sample (RM 10.0) we would have a total of 20.5 grams, which is enough to comfortably add PAH analysis to the sample (10 grams PCB congeners, pesticides, dioxin/furans and lipid, 3 grams other metals and % moisture, and 5 grams PAH analysis = total of 28 grams with some left over to spare).

What do you think?

-Jennifer

-----Original Message-----

From: PETERSON Jenn L  
Sent: Monday, November 20, 2006 2:16 PM  
To: 'Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov'; Ron Gouquet;  
Goulet.Joe@epamail.epa.gov; 'Jeremy\_Buck@fws.gov';  
'Robert.Neely@noaa.gov'; 'Shephard.Burt@epamail.epa.gov'; 'Chris  
Thompson'  
Subject: RE: Fw: LWG lamprey tissue analysis proposal

Hi All,

Here is a more detailed spreadsheet of the lamprey tissue collection proposal that matches the one outlined by Eric - it just includes the grams available and required for each analysis. Does someone want to add the macrothalmia proposal to it?

Please feel free to comment or change if this does not outline the specifics of what was decided.

-Jennifer

-----Original Message-----

From: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov  
[mailto:[Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov)]  
Sent: Friday, November 17, 2006 2:03 PM  
To: Ron Gouquet  
Cc: ANDERSON Jim M; aron.borok@EILTD.net; audiehuber@ctuir.com;  
BBarquin@hk-law.com; Shephard.Burt@epamail.epa.gov;  
Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov; chris.thompson@EILTD.net;  
cinde.donoghue@iiltd.net; csmith@parametrix.com;  
Black.Curt@epamail.epa.gov; Davoli.Dana@epamail.epa.gov;  
erin.madden@gmail.com; GAINER Tom; Grepo-Grove.Gina@epamail.epa.gov;  
howp@critfc.org; Jay.Field@noaa.gov; jeff.baker@grandronde.org;  
jennifer.arthur@EILTD.net; jeremy\_buck@fws.gov;  
Goulet.Joe@epamail.epa.gov; Smith.Judy@epamail.epa.gov; TOEPEL Kathryn;  
Koch.Kristine@epamail.epa.gov; Lisa.Estensen@grandronde.org;  
Cora.Lori@epamail.epa.gov; Ader.Mark@epamail.epa.gov; MCCLINCY Matt;  
parker.wittman@iiltd.net; PETERSON Jenn L; POULSEN Mike;  
Fuentes.Rene@epamail.epa.gov; rgensem@parametrix.com;  
Robert.Neely@noaa.gov; rose@yakama.com; Sheldrake.Sean@epamail.epa.gov;  
tomd@ctsi.nsn.us  
Subject: Re: Fw: LWG lamprey tissue analysis proposal

Yes - PCBs, pesticides and dioxin and furans

Ron Gouquet  
<Ron.Gouquet@noa  
a.gov> To  
11/17/2006 01:56 Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA  
PM cc  
Burt Shephard/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,  
Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,  
Curt Black/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Dana  
Davoli/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, GAINER  
Tom <GAINER.Tom@deq.state.or.us>,  
Gina  
Grepo-Grove/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,  
jeff.baker@grandronde.org,  
Jennifer L Peterson  
<PETERSON.Jenn@deq.state.or.us>,  
jeremy\_buck@fws.gov,  
anderson.jim@deq.state.or.us, Joe  
Goulet/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Judy  
Smith/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,  
Kathryn.Toepel@state.or.us,  
Kristine Koch/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,  
Lisa.Estensen@grandronde.org,  
MCCLINCY Matt  
<MCCLINCY.Matt@deq.state.or.us>,  
howp@critfc.org, POULSEN Mike  
<POULSEN.Mike@deq.state.or.us>,  
Rene Fuentes/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,  
Robert.Neely@noaa.gov, Sean  
Sheldrake/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,  
tomd@ctsi.nsn.us,

parker.wittman@eilstd.net,  
csmith@parametrix.com,  
rgensemer@parametrix.com,  
rose@yakama.com,  
erin.madden@gmail.com,  
cinde.donoghue@eilstd.net,  
Jay.Field@noaa.gov,  
jennifer.arthur@EILTD.net,  
chris.thompson@EILTD.net,  
aron.borok@EILTD.net, Lori  
Cora/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Mark  
Ader/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,  
BBarquin@hk-law.com,  
audiehuber@ctuir.com

Subject  
Re: Fw: LWG lamprey tissue  
analysis proposal

Is PCB = PCBs and Pesticides (i.e., DDX)?

Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov wrote:

> Attached is the proposal from the LWG regarding the lamprey tissue  
> analysis. Members of the eco team developed an independent proposal  
for  
> lamprey analysis. have summarized what I think our team's proposal is  
> below.

>

>   Sample	+-----	Analysis
>	+-----	
>   LW3-LT002 (RM 2.5 southbank)	+-----	PCBs, lipids
>	+-----	
>   LW3-LT001, LW3-LT006, LW3-LT009,	+-----	PCBs, lipids, metals, PAH
>   LW3-LT018, LW3-LT020 (ISA)	+-----	
>	+-----	
>   LW3-LT021 (RM 10.0 Goldendale	+-----	PCBs, lipids, metals
>   Aluminum	+-----	
>	+-----	
>   LW3-LT023b (RM 16.5-17 Sellwood	+-----	PCBs, lipids, metals, PAH
>   Bridge	+-----	
>	+-----	
>   LW3-LT023c (RM 18.7 Elk Rock)	+-----	PCBs, lipids, metals, PAH
>	+-----	

> In addition, macrothalmia collected from the study area would be  
composited into a single sample.

> According to the LWG proposal, a replicate analysis would be run on  
the  
> Elk Rock Island sample and an upstream macrothalmia composite will  
also  
> be analyzed. I support these aspects of the LWG proposal. The issue  
> of course is how to divide up the lamprey collected from the study  
area.

> The government team proposal has the advantage of taking lamprey from  
the more contaminated areas. The LWG proposal has the advantage of  
giving us an upper study area and lower study area sample.

> Please look over the LWG proposal. I would like to discuss this at  
Wednesday's TCT to finalize our approach.

>  
> Thanks, Eric  
> ----- Forwarded by Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US on 11/17/2006 10:16 AM  
> -----

> Valerie Oster  
> <voster@anchoren  
> v.com>

To  
> Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,

> 11/17/2006 09:53 Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA  
> AM  
cc kpine@integral-corp.com, Helle  
> Andersen  
> <helleb@windwardenv.com>, Jim.McKenna@portofportland.com,  
> RICKA@BES.CI.PORTLAND.OR.US,  
> "Wyatt, Robert"  
> <rjw@nwnatural.com>, ANDERSON.Jim@deq.state.or.us,  
> rick.j.kepler@state.or.us,  
> preston\_sleeger@ios.doi.gov,  
Dana > Davoli/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,  
> robert.neely@noaa.gov,  
> ted\_buerger@fws.gov,  
> Ron.gouquet@noaa.gov,  
> howp@critfc.org,  
> jeff.baker@grandronde.org,  
> lisa.bluelake@grandronde.org,  
> valerie.lee@eiltltd.net,  
> erin.madden@gmail.com,  
> wbarquin@hk-law.com,  
> tomd@ctsi.nsn.us,  
> audiehuber@ctuir.com,  
> cunningham@gorge.net,  
> rose@yakama.com, Rene  
> Fuentes/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Joe  
Kristine > Goulet/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,  
> Koch/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,  
> jeremy\_buck@fws.gov  
>  
Subject LWG lamprey tissue analysis  
> proposal  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
>  
> Chip, Eric,  
>  
> As mentioned during last week's Portland Harbor Managers meeting, here  
> is the LWG proposal on lamprey tissue analysis.  
>  
> thanks  
> Valerie  
>  
> Valerie Thompson Oster  
> Anchor Environmental, L.L.C  
> 6650 SW Redwood Lane, Suite 110  
> Portland, OR 97224  
> Phone: 503-670-1108 x19  
> Cell: 503-577-0254  
> Fax: 503-670-1128  
>  
> This electronic message transmission contains information that is a  
> confidential and/or privileged work product prepared in anticipation

of  
> litigation. The information is intended to be for the use of the  
> individual or entity named above. If you are not the intended  
> recipient, please be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution  
or  
> use of the contents of this information is prohibited. If you have  
> received this electronic transmission in error, please notify us by  
> electronic mail at voster@anchorenv.com.(See attached file: 2006-11-14  
> LWG proposal on lamprey tissue analysis.pdf)